

Witness and Friend:

Symbolism associated with John the Baptiser

Mary L. Colo

The Gospel narrative opens with the person of John. On this point, the Fourth Gospel is in agreement with the Synoptics, but thereafter the simiarities end. In the Synoptic Gospels, John is the herald, the precursor. He is the one who comes before, 'to prepare the way of the Lord', where 'the Lord' is Jesus of Nazareth. So strong is this tradition of John's role as the reality that John baptized Jesus, then John was arrested, then Jesus began his ministry of preaching in Galilee. This is the sequence we find in the first chapter of Mark that has its parallel in Matthew and Luke. The single dissenting voice to this picture is that of the Fourth Gospel, which in the past has been too readily dismissed as 'unhistorical' and 'spiritual' because of its symbolic language. However, in this Gospel, history and symbol are tion undergirds the principle that will govern the telling of this Gospel's forerunner, that it is regularly taken to reflect the historical and sequential not mutually exclusive realities, for this Gospel proclaims that history is now the locus of the divine presence. In the flesh of Jesus, we have the eternal Word of God. For this reason, history is now radiant with the glory of God. "The Word became flesh and dwelt among us, and we have seen they refer to things of this world, and symbolic, in that they, at the same ty in the Gospel narrative that is both fully historical, and that, at the same his glory, glory as of a Father's only son" (1:14). The event of the incarnastory.2 Words and deeds, places and times, will be both mundane, in that time, look to the transcendent to find their fuller meaning. There is a realime, transcends the historical. Where this Gospel stands apart from the

¹ This chapter is part of a larger project on the imagery of the Household in the Fourth Gospel that will be published under the title, Dwelling in the Household of God: The Spirituality and Ecclesiology of the Fourth Gospel. I am grateful to the Australian Research Council for a grant enabling me to pursue further research on the Fourth Gospel.

² This principle for the uniquely Johannine way of recounting the tradition of Jesus was termed the "Sacramental Principle" by Sandra Schneiders and her article remains one of the clearest expositions of the symbolic character of the Fourth Gospel; see S. M. Schneiders, "Symbolism and the sacramental principle in the Fourth Gospel," in Segni E Sacramenti Nel Vangelo Di Giovanni (ed. P.-R. Tragan; SA 67; Rome 1977), 221–235.

parables of Jesus recounted as part of the narrative, the Fourth Gospel uses Whereas the Synoptics invite the reader into a symbolic world in the many the narrative itself to invite the reader into its symbolizing dynamic.3 Sandra Schneiders writes, "Symbolism in John is not an element in the Gospel but a dimension of the Gospel as a whole, namely, its characteristic revelatory mode."4 The story of Jesus is from the beginning, the history of the Word-made-flesh. No-where is this more evident than in the portrayal of synoptic Gospels, is in its way of recounting the tradition it has received. John where history and symbol are juxtaposed.

This chapter will not attempt a reconstruction of the historical Baptist; for those wanting an historical assessment of what evidence we have, I recommend the excellent study by John Meier in his second volume of Jesus a Marginal Jew.5 There is also a short study of the Baptist material from a historical perspective in an article by Frank Moloney, "The Fourth Gospel and the Jesus of History."6 My interest is to take up one image from the early tradition and see how the fourth evangelist has used this traditional material for his Christological and ecclesial purposes.

R. E. Brown (Introduction to the Gospel of John: Edited updated, introduced and concluded by F. J. Moloney [ABRL; New York 2003], 289) compares Johannine symbolism to the synoptic parables.

S. M. Schneiders, "History and Symbolism in the Fourth Gospel," in L'Évangile de Jean: Sources, rédaction, théologie (ed. M. de Jonge; BETL 44; Louvain 1977), 376.

5 J. P. Meier, Mentor, Message, and Miracles (vol. 2 of idem, A Marginal Jew: Rethinking the Historical Jesus; 3 vols. [1991-2001]; ABRL; New York 1994), chs. 12 and draw upon many of his conclusions. More recently Catherine Murphy's work provides a 13, esp. 101-105. This chapter will not repeat the details of Meier's arguments but will helpful introduction to redaction criticism in its evaluation of Baptist material; see C. M. Murphy, John the Baptist: Prophet of Purity for a New Age (ed. B. Green; Interfaces; Collegeville, Minn. 2003).

6 F. J. Moloney, "The Fourth Gospel and the Jesus of History," NTS 46 (2000): 42-

Witness and Friend

321

1. Friend of the bridegroom?

John is the first person named in the Fourth Gospel, and he is introduced as a witness (1:7). When John speaks for himself, he rejects a number of titles that the Jerusalem delegates propose and instead names himself as 'the rative, he identifies himself as the "friend of the bridegroom" (3:29). The voice' (1:23). This title further emphasizes the way John has been introduced in the Prologue as a witness. Then, in John's final words in the narimagery of the bridegroom's friend has not been given sufficient attention in understanding John's role in the narrative and the significance of the narrative frame created by the person of John across the opening chapters from 1:19-3:30 [36].8 Too often, the image is reduced to one aspect of this friend of the bridegroom had a much wider role than this, covering the enrole, namely, his attesting to the virginity of the bride.9 However, the tire process of the nuptial arrangements. Before examining the social context of this image, I first explore its possible historical context in the ministry of Jesus and John.

All four Gospels have sayings applying the image of the bridegroom to Jesus within a context of a comparison being made between Jesus and John. In the Synoptics, the question is asked of Jesus why John's disciples fast while his disciples do not. In the Fourth Gospel, the discussion begins with the issue of purification, and John's disciples ask him about Jesus' baptising ministry which seems to be more effective than John's.

⁷ In discussing marriage customs at the time of Jesus, it must be recognized that there are few, if any, texts from this period providing conclusive evidence of the social customs. What follows draws on scholarship based on references to marriage found in the biblical literature over a range of centuries, and what was codified in the Mishnah in the groom's friend was a very ancient custom that extended beyond Israel and included other Middle Eastern nations. I am presuming here that some of the customs described were preserved during the period of the Second Temple. See A. Van Selms, "The Best Man post-biblical era. A law code from Sumerian times indicates that the role of the brideand Bride: From Sumer to St. John," JNES 9 (1950): 65-75.

⁸ Although John's voice finishes with v. 30, the following verses (31-36) continue the theme of John's witness as the one sent by God (1:6; 3:32) and also the distinction between John 'who is of the earth' and Jesus 'who comes from above' (3:31).

⁹ Mirjam and Ruben Zimmermann argue correctly, in my opinion, that the role of summation of the marriage and the bride's virginity. See M. and R. Zimmermann, "Der Freund des Bräutigams (Joh 3,29): Deflorations- oder Christuszeuge?," ZNW 90 (1999). the bridegroom's friend must not be limited to this specific role of witnessing to the con-

Mark	Madde		
	INTARILICA	Luke	John
S'118 Now John's	9:14 Then the disci-	5:33 Then they [the	3:25 Now a discus
Dharicase	ples of John came to	Pharisees and their	sion about nurifica
fasting and neo	him, saying, "Why	scribes] said to him,	tion arose between
nle came and soid	uo we and the Phari-	"John's disciples,	John's disciples and
to him "Why do	sees fast often, but	like the disciples of	Jew. 3:26 They came
John's disciples	fact?" 0.15 And I		to John and said to
	cus and to the	quently fast and	him, "Rabbi, the one
of the Pharisees	"The modding	pray, but your disci-	who was with you
fast, but vour die-	The wedding guests	ples eat and drink."	across the Jordan, to
ciples do not	Tong as the Last	5:34 Jesus said to	whom you testified,
3:19	organ is mith at	ō ,	here he is baptizing,
said to them. "The	Can they? The deep	make wedding	and all are going to
wedding onests	will come when the	guests fast while the	him." 3:27 John an-
cannot fast while	hidearoom is teles		swered, "No one can
the bridegroom is	away from them and	mem, can you?	receive anything ex-
with them can	then they will fact."	r.	cept what has been
they? As long as	aren arey will last.	come when the	given from heaven.
they have the		priaegroom will be	3:28 You yourselves
bridegroom with		taken away from	are my witnesses that
them, they cannot			I said, 'I am not the
fast. 2:20 The		Will fast in those	Messiah, but I have
3		uays.	been sent ahead of
when the bride-	_		him.' 3:29 He who
groom is taken			de is
away from them,			bridegroom. The
and then they will			rriend of the bride-
fast on that day."			groom, who stands
•			and hears him, re-
			joices greatly at the
			bridegroom's voice.
			For this reason my
		<u> </u>	Joy has been fulfilled.
	-	_	Crease but I must do
		, ,	crease."

Table 1: Bridegroom - Gospel Comparison

Meier argues for the authenticity of the bridegroom image within a saying of Jesus in response to questions about fasting. "The sharp antithetical metaphors of fasting and a wedding, compressed into a single rhetorical question, are typical of the forceful rhetoric and parabolic speech of Jesus."10 Without going into the possible meaning this image may have had for Jesus as an oblique reference to his own identity and mission, I wish to

Witness and Friend

323

focus on the way the image was developed in the post-Easter communities, particularly the community behind the Fourth Gospel.

imagery used in the Old Testament to describe the relationship between this image was one of many such images transferred by the Christian tom where the young woman prepares for her wedding by bathing before The image of Jesus as the bridegroom has its background in the spousal God and Israel (Hos 1-2; Jer 2:2; Isa 61:10). In the post-Easter preaching communities to describe the relationship between Jesus and the ekklesia (2 Cor 11:2; Eph 5:27). The Ephesian imagery draws on the marriage cusbeing led in procession and presented to her husband.11 Husbands, love your wives, just as Christ loved the church and gave himself up for her, in order to make her holy by cleansing her with the washing of water by the word, so as to present the church to himself in splendor, without a spot or wrinkle or anything of the kind - yes, so that she may be holy and without blemish. (Eph 5:25-27).

0 - 2 - 2 0

The washing "of water with the word" is a reference to baptism, indicating drew on the marital imagery so loved by the prophets of Israel to speak of that this community at Ephesus linked baptism with the bridal bath and Christ's love for his church. When turning to the bridegroom imagery in the Fourth Gospel, we find a similar baptismal context. 12 John's disciples are comparing Jesus and John and, if we can read a spoken tone into the printed word, they seem disgruntled that Jesus is attracting more people than their own master. A similar air of disgruntledness seems to be voiced by John's disciples in Matthew's account above, "Why do we fast ... but behind this episode. It recalls some rivalry between the disciples of these two men, who, at least for some period, were involved in similar baptizing ministries and yet have different practices. 13 The Fourth Gospel takes up your disciples do not" (Matt 9:14)? There appears to be a genuine memory he image of the bridegroom, which the evidence suggests was originally a saying of Jesus, but places this on the lips of John. Now it is John who

¹⁰ Meier, Mentor (n. 5), 448. While arguing for the authenticity of an original core saying, Meier also shows how later tradition has made use of this saying for apologetic

¹¹ M.-E. Boismard, "L'ami de l'Époux (Joh 3,29)," in À la recontre de Dieu: Mémorial Albert Gelin (ed. A. Barucq; BFCTL 8; Le Puy 1961), 292.

¹² My study of the Baptist material is leading me towards accepting Ephesus as the most likely place for the final stages of this Gospel's production. According to Acts 19:1-7 disciples of John were baptising in Ephesus before Paul arrived there some time in the early fifties. Ephesus could therefore provide a location where a community needed to clarify the respective roles of John and Jesus. Since the bridal imagery was part of this community's understanding of Baptism, this could have been influential in the Johannine development of the image of Jesus as the bridegroom in his narrative, particularly in relation to John.

¹³ Something similar might lie behind the request by Jesus' disciples for Jesus to each them to pray, 'as John taught his disciples' (Luke 11:1).

makes the comparison between himself and Jesus, with Jesus as the bridegroom while he has the role of the bridegroom's friend.

1.1. The Role of the 'Friend' in Betrothal and Marriage customs

Marriage in biblical time was purely a social institution with no religious ceremonies since there is nothing in the Torah stipulating how marriages were to be celebrated.14 It was an arrangement entered into by two families. While each village no doubt had its own local variations, the following description provides a general pattern for this arrangement.

with the heads of the families of the young man and the young woman Marriage involved firstly a formal betrothal with the wedding following after a period of at least a year. Arrangements for the betrothal were made (Gen 24:1-4; Judg 14:1-3); if the father was absent, the arrangements became the duty of the mother or the elder brother (Gen 21:14-21).15 In these negotiations the two fathers did not deal directly with each other but through deputies, probably to avoid any loss of honor if the negotiations broke down. 16 This was one of the tasks of the bridegroom's friend. 17 The deputy would be informed of the dowry and how much of that would be paid at the time of betrothal and how much at the actual wedding. The father of the groom and this friend/deputy formally went to the house of the intended bride to begin discussions about the appropriate dowry, which the bridegroom would pay, and which would revert to the wife in case of divorce.18 When the purpose of the visit was explained, the bride's father would send for a deputy to speak for him. When the two deputies were present, the negotiations began until there was consent about the marriage and Michal, daughter of Saul, were consulted to see if they agreed to go and the dowry. While the arrangements were made between the parents, the young couple seem to have had some choice in these affairs; Rebekah

¹⁴ J. J. Collins, "Marriage, Divorce and Family in Second Temple Judaism," in Louisville, Ky. 1997), 107; E. Stapfer, Palestine in the Time of Christ (trans. A. H. Families in Ancient Israel (eds. L. G. Perdue et al.; The family, religion, and culture; Holmden; 3rd ed.; New York 1885), 159, 165.

Sacred Page (Philadelphia 1894), 12. Trumbull describes customs among Arabs in the Middle East in the 19th Century C.E. His description seems to accord with customs 15 H. C. Trumbull, Studies in Oriental Social Life and Gleams from the East on the found in the biblical literature.

16 "Few events held more potential for the transfer of honor than marriage. Conversely, for a father, especially of the bride, few events would have been as laden with anxiety as marriage ... every juncture presented a possibility for shame and social disaster. In such an environment it is a miracle that anyone would want to enter the process of negotiating a marriage." See F. P. Satlow, Jewish Marriage in Antiquity (Princeton

17 F. H. Wight, Manners and Customs of Bible Lands (Chicago 1953), 127. ¹⁸ Collins, "Marriage, Divorce and Family" (n. 14), 109.

Witness and Friend

325

consent was reached, then the deputies and the fathers drank together as a In earlier times the betrothal was a spoken pledge before witnesses in the presence of the families of the bride and the groom, and of other with Isaac and David respectively (Gen 24:53-58; 1 Sam 18:20). When sign of the covenant now agreed upon. At this point, the couple was considered engaged until a more formal betrothal ceremony could take place. (Ezek 16:8; Mal 2:14) but after the Exile a written document was drawn up witnesses. According to Trumbull, 19 it was the deputies who drew up the formal contract which was signed by the two fathers and this was then "committed into the trusty hands of the best man." 20 During this ceremony, rest at the time of the wedding. ²¹ At this time a pledge would be spoken. ²² A typical pledge was, "She is my wife and I am her husband." The bethe young man would give the young woman a ring, or some other wealthy part of the dowry could also be given at this stage with the promise of the trothal was a very formal and binding agreement that could only be broken by divorce or death. From this description, it is clear that the bridegroom's ding.23 As friend, he is the one who deals directly with the family of the article, or a written promise of marriage as an initial sign of commitment; friend has a very significant role in the proceedings even prior to the wedyoung woman. His negotiations play a crucial part in the father granting consent. It is for this reason that there were ancient laws forbidding the father, should he refuse the request of the intended bridegroom, to give his daughter to the bridegroom's friend.

If a son-in-law [intended] has entered the house of his [intended] father-in-law and has performed the betrothal gift, and afterwards they have made him go out and have given his wife to his companion - they shall present to him the betrothal gift which he has brought and that wife may not marry his companion.24 The term companion in this passage refers to the formal role called today in western cultures, 'the best man', or in the Fourth Gospel, the friend of the bridegroom (3:29). By virtue of the friend's role in the pre-betrothal ar-

¹⁹ Trumbull, Oriental Social Life (n. 15), 20.

²⁰ J. Jeremias, "νύμφη, νυμφίος," TDNT 4:1099-1106, see 1101 n. 20.
21 The account of the betrothal of Isaac and Rebecca is similar to this description. When her father has agreed to the betrothal, the servant produces more jewels of silver Abraham's servant acts as the go-between and gives Rebecca a golden ring and bracelets. and gold as gifts to Rebecca and to her family (Gen 24).

²² Samples of written pledges from the 5th century B.C.E. have been found in Egypt; see E. M. Yamauchi, "Cultural Aspects of Marriage in the Ancient World," BSac 135 (1978): 246. Collins ("Marriage, Divorce and Family" [n. 14], 111-112) also describes

contracts from the early second century C.E.

23 So also M. and R. Zimmermann, "Der Freund des Bräutigams (Joh 3,29)" (n. 9),

²⁴ Van Selms, "The Best Man and Bride: From Sumer to St. John" (n. 7), 65-70.

angements, he could never be the husband, even if the proposal was urned down. The bride could never be his.25

which was his ancestral home since the young man usually stayed within The feature of the wedding ceremony was the joyous procession of the young woman from her father's house to the home of the bridegroom, the house of his father. She was conducted to her new home by her relatives with songs and dancing. This ceremony traveled slowly so that the entire village could see the finery and wealth of the young bride and would usually arrive late in the day for the wedding ceremony, which "was always in the evening at sunset."26 Sometimes the groom himself would come to lead the bride, and sometimes this role would again be given to the bridegroom's friend.27 While the procession was a public feature of the wedding, the most solemn moment came when the bride entered into the ancestral home of the bridegroom.28 Here she prepared herself and waited with her attendants while festivities continued outside. Throughout the procession, her face was veiled for now only her husband could see her face within his house. Often the bridegroom would also travel in his own man then led him into the bridal chamber and it would appear that the best procession, arriving at the home some hours later in the evening. The best man awaited the call of the bridegroom to fetch the nuptial sheet to testify to the virginity of the bride.29

2. John Witness and Friend of the Bridegroom

light on the Fourth Gospel's presentation of John. John identifies himself using two images, "the voice" (1:23) and "the friend of the bridegroom" The above details on the customs surrounding marriage and betrothal shed

Witness and Friend

327

in the Second Temple period is primarily legal in character, and within the egal formalities of the betrothal, witnesses are a necessary part of the marriage has been consummated. When looking at John's role, through the lens of social customs surrounding marriage, his role as witness and friend contractual arrangements, which stipulated the dowry and inheritance rights. During the wedding, the bridegroom's friend then witnesses that the (3:29) while the narrative calls him a "witness." Evidence about marriages of the bridegroom come together.

John is the first to arrive on the scene, and he has been sent by God (1:6). In initiating marriage arrangements, the deputy/friend is the one who approaches the bride's father. He is the one to speak for his friend, to reveal his identity and desires, and to conduct the negotiations, which will lead hopefully to a marriage. He is the voice of the friend in these matters and is expected to present his friend's suit in the best possible manner. He is not speaking for himself.

Jesus as the one who outranks him. He then reveals Jesus' identity as 'the Son of God' (31-34). John's acts in this narrative in the traditional manner would direct or lead the young bride to the bridegroom's place. We are told that the disciples saw where Jesus was and stayed with him (1:39). 27; 29-30). John describes what he experienced at the baptism, and reveals the meaning of this detail is to be found beyond the actual narrative.30 Jesus is first introduced into the narrative through John's voice (1:26of a deputy or friend of the bridegroom sent by the groom's father to initiate proceedings that will lead hopefully to betrothal and marriage. He then, as friend of the groom, directs disciples to Jesus (1:35-36), as the friend The time detail is given, "about the tenth hour," i.e. late afternoon, which which seems to have no other narrative purpose, is one indicator to the Once the disciples and Jesus have been brought together, John withdraws would be the traditional time for a wedding celebration. The time detail, reader that the evangelist may be working with symbolism, that is to say, from the narrative, which now shifts its focus from John to Jesus.

Andrew, one of John's disciples, takes over John's role as he finds his prother Simon and leads him to Jesus (1:41-42). Then Phillip, the only disciple directly invited by Jesus, finds Nathanael and leads him to Jesus (1:45). In this scene, an initial group of disciples is being gathered, and all,

²⁵ This is the situation described in Judg 14 when the woman Samson claims for his wife is given instead to the best man, thus Samson considers himself blameless for his actions against the Philistines (Judg 14-15). See also Van Selms, "The Best Man and Bride" (n. 7), 71-74.

²⁶ Stapfer, Palestine in the Time of Christ (n. 14), 163; Trumbull, Oriental Social ²⁷ Boismard, "L'Ami" (n. 11), 292. Boismard refers also to a number of Rabbinic Life (n. 15), 39-44.

texts where God is considered to have had the role of the friend of the bridegroom when, following the creation of Eve, God presented her to Adam.

²⁸ Wight, Manners (n. 17), 133, Stapfer, Palestine in the Time of Christ (n. 14), 163. Also Boismard, "L'Ami" (n. 11), 292: "on la conduisait processionnellement chez l'époux et c'est à partir de ce moment qu'elle était considérée comme effectivement

²⁹ Jeremias, "νύμφη, νυμφίος" (n. 20), 1101; Satlow, Jewish Marriage (n. 16), 175-

details that seem to play no part in the narrative, (ii) a discourse set within the narrative of an event such that discourse and event are mutually illuminating, (iii) when the evan- 30 In an article on Johannine symbolism Juan Leal offers four criteria that can indi-(iv) when later liturgical and Christian expressions are used. See J. Leal, "El simbolismo cate when the narrative has a symbolic as well as a literal meaning; (i) inconsequential gelist accentuates the importance of a person, who has no significant role in that context, nistórico del IV Evangelio," EstBíb 19 (1960): 344-346.

329

John, then Andrew, then Phillip all act as the friend of the bridegroom to lowed by a promise of even greater things in the future when Nathanael except Phillip, come to Jesus through the words of an intermediary. First mediate a relationship between Jesus and another. The meeting with Nathanael also appears to draw on the customs of the betrothal ceremony for in this initial encounter a small sign is given, when Jesus reveals surprising knowledge of Nathanael, "an Israelite in whom there is no deceit!" (1:47), and Nathanael responds with a confession of faith (1:49). This sign is folwill see what his ancestor Jacob/Israel once saw (Gen 28:12). Nathanael trothal, a part of the dowry would be given by the groom with the promise will experience Bethel, the House of God. As mentioned above, at the beof the rest to follow at the wedding.

movement of the narrative, beginning with John's witness and concluding with his self-identification as the bridegroom's friend. Through John, dissus acts as the bridegroom in providing the wine for the festivities.31 The Without going into details in this chapter, I draw attention to the overall ciples are introduced to Jesus; they then participate in a wedding where Je-Cana pericope concludes with an affirmation of faith: "his disciples believed in him" (2:11). The following narrative comment should not be overlooked. "After this he went down to Capernaum, with his mother, his The disciples have now been drawn into the family of Jesus. Trumbull the bride belonged to the mother of the bridegroom.32 The bridegroom's in this light, the narrative comment in v. 12 may be more than a simple notes that a distinctive feature of family life in the East was the idea that mother was the woman in the household who had greatest authority. Seen conclusion to the Cana episode, but may be continuing the nuptial theme brothers and his disciples; and they remained there for a few days" (2:12). that I am suggesting shapes these early chapters.

ples being directed and led to Jesus (1:35-37), to a first meeting with a The narrative so far, has followed the customs of a middle-eastern marriage. It has taken us from the initial witness of John (1:19-34), to discismall sign offered and a promise of more to come (1:38-51), a wedding celebration (2:1-10), a confession of faith (2:11), and the inclusion of disciples in Jesus' household (2:12). These are the preparatory stages for the final solemn moment in a wedding when the bride enters the home of the bridegroom, which is his father's house. Following the festivities, the

bride's veil is lifted is a key moment for their relationship.33 He will see ime when the groom actually sees his new wife. The moment when the her face for the first time, and she will read his response to her in his face. groom also enters the bridal chamber and that, in some cases, is the first It is a revelatory moment for them both.

House Jesus reveals his identity explicitly for the first time and confirms the testimony of John that he is "the Son of God" and that God's Spirit dwells in him (1:34). The Temple that had been called "the Lord's Hou-18). As the Son in whom the Spirit dwells, Jesus is now the locus for God's presence in history so that by the end of the pericope the meaning of and a veiled reference was given to Nathanael with the allusion to Jacob's God's presence (2:19), a new House of God.36 The encounter within the agery does not dominate this scene as it did at Cana, but in a number of ple is named as 'my Father's House', inside this 'house' Jesus reveals his oride to his father's house. The nuptial imagery will come to the forefront From Capernaum, Jesus and his disciples travel to Jerusalem and enter nis 'Father's House' (2:16), the Temple of Jerusalem. Here, in his Father's he Temple shifts from a building to his own person. The reader has known from the Prologue that Jesus is the tabernacling presence of God (1:14), dream at Beth-el (1:51),35 These allusions are made explicit in this scene when the disciples hear for the first time Jesus' identity as the Temple of Father's house has been a decisive moment of revelation. The nuptial imways the narrative indicates that this imagery is still operative - the Temdentity. The placement of the Temple incident directly after the wedding festivities at Cana reflects the usual custom of the bridegroom taking his se"34 can be called by Jesus, "My Father's House" because he is Son (1:14, again in the next two chapters.

Following these events within the Temple, the marital imagery continues in the encounter with Nicodemus where Jesus teaches the necessity of being 'born anew' and Nicodemus ponders the impossibility of returning to the mother's womb (3:3-5). The language of birth dominates the first part of the discourse where the setting is a conversation between two peo-

the bridegroom to congratulate him on producing good wine late in the festivities. This would indicate that the bridegroom has the task of providing wine, and in this case, it is ³¹ At Cana Jesus' role as the bridegroom is implied when the head steward goes to Jesus who has provided the good wine. See F. J. Moloney, The Gospel of John (SP 4; Collegeville, Minn. 1998), 68-69, 72-73.

³² Trumbull, Oriental Social Life (n. 15), 33.

he "specific celebration of the marriage rite is called today 'the lifting of the veil,' or 33 Trumbull (Oriental Social Life [n. 15], 43, 58) notes that in many parts of the East 'the uncovering of the face.""

³⁴ The terminology, 'Yahweh's House' is the most frequent name of the Temple in the Old Testament, occurring over two hundred times.

³⁵ Beth-el means 'House of God.'

³⁶ A detailed treatment of the Temple pericope can be found in M. L. Coloe, God Dwells with Us: Temple Symbolism in the Fourth Gospel (Collegeville, Minn. 2001), 65-

ple (3:1-10),37 while the second part, which can be called discourse bride. The birth of a child fulfills the marriage blessing that the bride would bear many children (Gen 24:60; Ruth 4:11).38 The Gospel narrative vv. 13-21) introduces the theme of eternal life (3:15, 16). Birth and new ife are the final testimony to a complex social process that began with an has taken us through the stages in this process: a first meeting, initiated by Nicodemus John returns to the narrative for the last time and concludes his initial approach by the bridegroom's friend to the home of the intended John, with initial signs and the promise of greater things to come, a wedding, entry into the Father's house and finally birth. After the episode with testimony by identifying himself as the friend of the bridegroom, a friend whose role is now complete; "he must increase, but I must decrease" (3:30). The narrative has moved from John as witness to John as friend, and in between, it has drawn upon nuptial imagery, which can be shown schematically as follows:

1:19-34 John (witness)

disciples of John/Jesus 1:35-51

wedding 2:1-12

my Father's House 2:13-25

birth 3:1-21

disciples of John/Jesus 3:22-24

3:25-36 John (friend of the bridegroom)

3. Conclusion

The "Sacramental Principle," as it is named by Sandra Schneiders, means his writing, the metaphorical never degenerates to mere ornamentation, or gelist communicates his profound Christological and theological vision. In In his work on imagery in the Gospel, Ruben Zimmermann proposes that that the symbolism in the Fourth Gospel is the vehicle by which the evanrhetorical flourish. Metaphor and symbol are the medium for his message. the Johannine symbols operate in four dimensions: anthropological, his-

Witness and Friend

331

chs. 2, 6, 13-19), the pool of Siloam (ch. 9) and its significance for the festival of Tabernacles. This is the historical dimension. Through these ogical realm. The bread provided to a crowd at Passover, recalls the manna of Israel's history and announces the presence of divine Wisdom now feeding her children at her banquet.41 Finally, these same symbols speak of the ongoing presence of the glorified Christ within the community hrough the indwelling Spirit/Paraclete. 42 The Temple functions as both an image of Jesus (2:21) and the community of believers who in the post-Easter time are to become the raised up 'Father's house/-hold' (14:2).43 All four categories proposed by Zimmermann can be seen in the Gospel's porperson Jesus, son of Mary and Joseph of Nazareth.40 Some of the details in as well as first century Judaism, i.e. his ministry covering three Passovers mundane dimensions, the Gospel opens out to the transcendent or theoers/hearers with familiar and concrete examples so that they can relate to he narrative and interact with it. This is the anthropological dimension. At the same time, the symbols draw on and evoke memories of the historical this Gospel provide unique information about Jesus' ministry and practice, everyday experience of first century Palestine, providing his initial readorical, theological and ecclesial.39 The Johannine symbols arise from the rait of John.

erization of John. In its interpretation of the relationship between John and lesus, the Fourth Gospel utilizes a remembered saying of Jesus, in which he used the metaphor of the bridegroom. This saying has provided the evangelist with an image that he develops in an extended metaphor of Jesus as the bridegroom and John as the witnessing friend of the bridegroom. The Fourth Gospel has drawn upon historical memories in its charac-

pened. Jesus, the divine Word incarnate, enacts the prophetic words of the History and symbol have joined in a narrative that not only tells a story about what happened, but also offers insight into the meaning of what hap-

³⁷ The conversational tone ends after v. 10 where there is a shift from singular to plu-

³⁸ Yamauchi, "Cultural Aspects of Marriage" (n. 22), 247. By Rabbinic times the blessing, called "the groom's blessing" was a major feature of the wedding celebration and was recited several times over the days of the feasting; see Satlow, Jewish Marriage

³⁹ R. Zimmermann, Christologie der Bilder im Johannesevangelium: Die Christo-poetik des vierten Evangeliums unter besonderer Berücksichtigung von Joh 10 (WUNT 171; Tübingen 2004), 425-446.

past, but an 'anamnesis,' a 'realised memory' (vergegenwartigende Erinnerung, 428). On this point, Zimmermann develops the groundbreaking work of Franz Mussner on the Jo-40 Zimmermann (Christologie [n. 39], 427-431) argues that through the presence of the Spirit in the community, the historical dimension is never simply a memory of times nannine perspective (Sehweise). See F. Mussner, The Historical Jesus in the Gospel of John (trans. W. J. O'Hara; QD 19; New York 1967).

⁴¹ André Feuillet develops both of these aspects of the Symbolism in John 6. See A. Feuillet, Johannine Studies (trans. Th. E. Crane; Staten Island 1965), chap. 3.

⁴² Zimmermann's ecclesial dimension (Christologie [n. 39], 438-440)

⁴³ On this point, Zimmermann refers to my study of the Temple, Coloe, God Dwells

Old Testament describing God's betrothal to and love of Israel.⁴⁴ Within the sequence under consideration (1:1–3:36) the nuptial symbolism is explicit only in the Wedding at Cana and in John's concluding words. Its presence is felt however, from the moment John is introduced as the man sent by God as witness (1:6–7), and I suggest, that a first century audience/reader, familiar with Jewish marital customs would pick up the allusion.⁴⁵ The marital imagery makes apparent the underlying narrative logic of the events across these chapters beginning and ending with John. Ricœur speaks of the need to link together the action kernels that constitute a narrative's structural continuity;⁴⁶ symbols, in a particular way hold the actions of a narrative together by providing a deeper network of associations than simple chronology. Reading a narrative, alert to its historical and symbolic potential enriches the reading experience by offering deeper perspectives.

John, as witness and friend of the bridegroom, reveals the identity of Jesus as the incarnation of God's nuptial love of Israel, a love that desires espousal and fecundity. John sets the scene for the initial betrothal and formation of God's household.

The Imagery of Eating and Drinking in John 6:35

Petrus Maritz / Gilbert Van Belle

In her study on "Eating and Drinking in the Gospel of John," Jane S. Webster rightly points out that "the ingesting motif is indeed prevalent in the Gospel of John." She not only refers to the six meal scenes, but also to the passages where "Jesus is said to provide wine, bread, fish, and water to characters in the narrative." Moreover, she stresses: "Food and drink are also used as metaphors for Jesus: he is the 'bread of life,' the source of 'living water,' and (possibly as food) the 'Lamb of God'," and, "Bread and water are particularly well-developed concepts." In this contribution, we will study the first Johannine èyéo-ei, to logion, John 6:35,5 where the imagery of "eating and drinking," more particularly of "bread and water [?]" is arranged in a rather unexpected way:

- α είπεν αύτοῖς ὁ Ἰησοῦς·
- b εγώ είμι ὁ ἄρτος τῆς ζωῆς.
- ὁ ἐρχόμενος πρὸς ἐμὲ οὐ μὴ πεινάση,
- d καὶ ὁ πιστεύων εἰς ἐμὲ οὐ μὴ διψήσει πώποτε.

Attentive readers of the gospel are expected to be caught by surprise in the bread discourse, when in the second leg (6:36d) of the parallelismus membrorum (6:35c.d)⁶ they read the explanation of the $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ - $\dot{\epsilon}\iota_1$ logion (6:36b), "whoever believes in me will never be thirsty." Until this point in the bread discourse there has been no mention of water or other "drink," only bread. In this contribution we wish to explore the problem of how Jesus as the Bread of Life can relieve both hunger and thirst.⁷ After con-

⁴⁴ Jesus incarnates Israel's divine bridegroom. This aspect of the imagery corresponds to Zimmermann's theological dimension.

⁴⁵ As I have shown above, the imagery of the bridegroom's friend draws upon the experience of first-century marriage customs in the Middle-East. This corresponds to Zimmermann's anthropological dimension.

⁴⁶ P. Ricœur, Interpretation Theory: Discourse and the Surplus of Meaning (Fort Worth 1976), 85.

⁴⁷ In this chapter, I have not elaborated on the 'household' or ecclesial dimension. This aspect will be extensively developed in my forthcoming book, *Dwelling in the Household of God: The Spirituality and Ecclesiology of the Fourth Gospel*.

¹ J. S. Webster, Ingesting Jesus: Eating and Drinking in the Gospel of John (SBLABib 6; Atlanta 2003), I and 147.

² Cf. John 2:1-11; 4:4-42; 6:1-14; 12:1-8; 13:1-14:31; 21:1-14. See op. cit., 2 (and

³ Op. cit., 147.

⁴ Op. cit., 146.

⁵ For literature on 6:35, see esp. n. 26 below.

⁶ On 6:35c.d. as "parallelismus membrorum," see nn. 36-37 below.

⁷ Surprisingly, books on symbols, metaphors and imagery in the Fourth Gospel do not always pay sufficient attention to this "aporia." See, however, R. A. Culpepper, Anatomy of the Fourth Gospel: A Study in literary design (Foundations and Facets: New Testament, Philadelphia 1983), 156; L. P. Jones, The Symbol of Water in the Gospel of John (JSNTSup 145; Sheffield 1997), 141-143: "Excursus: Jesus, Bread (and Drink) of Life (6,22-59)";